A Refinement of the Atomic and Thermal Parameters of α-Manganese from a Single Crystal*

By J.A. OBERTEUFFER[†]

Physics Department and the Materials Research Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201, U.S.A.

AND JAMES A. IBERS

Chemistry Department and the Materials Research Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201, U.S.A.

(Received 13 October 1969)

The growth of small single crystals of α -manganese has made possible the confirmation of the structure of this element determined previously by powder methods. This X-ray study has led to a precise structure determination and values for the Debye temperatures of the different atom types. α -Manganese, the low-temperature allotrope, has a body-centered structure with 58 atoms per cell occupying four different symmetry sites in space group $I\overline{4}3m$ (T_d^3). The lattice constant of 8.911 ± 0.002 Å leads to a calculated density of 7.463 g.cm⁻³. Integrated intensities for 1142 reflections (650 independent) were measured by counter methods using Mo K α radiation. The data were refined by the least-squares method to yield a conventional R factor on F^2 of 8.4%.

Introduction

The structure of α -manganese has been studied previously by several workers. Westgren & Phragmén (1925), in an X-ray investigation of the allotropy of manganese, were able to determine the lattice parameter. Bradley & Thewlis (1927), using intensities from Westgren & Phragmén's photographic data of a-manganese powder, correctly determined the space group $(I\overline{4}3m)$ and the number of atoms per unit cell (58). Values for the fractional coordinates of the atoms were also determined. These results were confirmed (Preston, 1928) from oscillating crystal photographs of nearly single-crystal samples. Gazzara, Middleton, Weiss & Hall (1967) reported a refinement of these atomic positions based on several sets of X-ray intensities obtained from α -manganese powder using Cr, Mn, and Cu radiation and counter methods. Atomic positions have been refined from single-crystal X-ray data by Kunitomi, Yamada, Nakai & Fujii (1969).[‡] The results of these investigations are given in Table 1.

 α -Manganese is unusual among metals and elements in the complexity of its crystal structure. The variations in interatomic distance and coordination number among the four atom types makes the structure of α -manganese more like that of an intermetallic compound than an element. The growth of pure single crystals of α -manganese in this laboratory has made possible a more precise determination of the structure of this element. The present X-ray study was undertaken for several reasons. First, it is of intrinsic importance to know the structures of the elements as accurately as possible. Second, antiferromagnetism has been observed in a-manganese below 95°K (Shull & Wilkinson, 1953; Kasper & Roberts, 1956). This present X-ray study was desirable as a prelude to a neutron diffraction study (Oberteuffer, Marcus, Schwartz and Felcher, 1968, 1970; Oberteuffer, 1969) of the magnetic structure. Third, the determination of the individual characteristic temperatures of the four atom types is possible from single-crystal data and is of importance since surprisingly large differences in these temperatures were hypothesized to explain the Mössbauer spectra of ⁵⁷Fe in an alloy of 5% Fe in α -manganese (Kimball, Phillips, Nevitt & Preston, 1966).

The sample used in this experiment was prepared from a crystal grown by a vapor deposition method (Oberteuffer, Marcus, Schwartz & Felcher, 1970). To minimize absorption effects the crystals were ground into spheres with radii of the order of 0.2 mm. They were then etched in solutions of 10% HCl in methanol to reduce the radius by about half. It was difficult to prevent some asphericity from developing during etching even though the etching solution was agitated. The smallest sphere that could be prepared in this way was about 0.1 mm in radius. The sample used in this experiment was an ellipsoid whose principal axes were 0.11, 0.084 and 0.068 mm. This crystal was mounted with the long axis of the ellipsoidal crystal roughly parallel to the φ axis of a Picker four-circle automatic diffractometer.

Collection and reduction of intensity data

Fourteen reflections were manually centered on the diffractometer and their setting angles formed the basis

^{*} This work was supported by the National Science Foundation and by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense through the Northwestern University Materials Research Center.

[†] Present address: Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, U.S.A.

[‡] This work was reported in abbreviated form after submission of the present manuscript.

for a least-squares refinement* of cell constant and orientation as previously described (Corfield, Doedens & Ibers, 1967). The lattice constant derived was 8.911(2) Å, based on observations made at 20 °C with Mo $K\alpha_1$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.70930$ Å).

Intensity data were collected in the manner previously described (Corfield, Doedens & Ibers, 1967). The diffracted beams were filtered through a 3 mil niobium foil. The $\theta - 2\theta$ scan technique was used, at a scan rate of 1° per minute, with scans ranging from 0.5° in 2θ below the $K\alpha_1$ peak to 0.5° above the $K\alpha_2$ peak. Stationary-counter, stationary-crystal background counts at the extreme positions were made for 20 seconds each. The pulse height analyzer was set to accept a 90% window. The diffracted beams passed through a counter aperture of dimensions 7×7 mm which was positioned 29 cm from the crystal. At a take-off angle of 1.1°, where a typical peak height was 80% of the maximum as a function of take-off angle, the widths at half-height of the scans were only about 0.1° in 2θ . Thus the eventual problems with extinction were not surprising.

During the data collection three reflections were chosen as standards and were observed every 200 re-

flections. No differences were observed other than those expected from the usual statistical deviations. A total of 1142 reflections was collected out to $\lambda^{-1}\sin\theta$ of 1.3 Å⁻¹ (using both the bisecting and parallel geometries). Of these, 129 were less than their standard deviations. This data set consisted of two members of the *hkl* form, specifically *hkl* and *hlk*.

The data were reduced as described previously, using a value for p of 0.03. Absorption effects are significant even with an average sample radius of 0.085 mm since μ is 257 cm⁻¹ for α -manganese using Mo K α radiation. The attenuation factor of the radiation over the mean radius is approximately 10. Initially a spherical absorption correction was applied to the data using the mean radius of 0.085 mm for the ellipsoidal crystal. In the final stages of refinement a more realistic absorption correction was applied: The ellipsoidal crystal was approximated by a 30-sided polygon and a numerical absorption correction was made. The transmission factors calculated in this way varied from about 0.06 at low angles to 0.14 at high angles. The anisotropy of the correction because of the non-spherical shape was as large as 50% at low angles and decreased to 20% at high angles. The maximum error in the local radius of the crystal as a result of the polygonal approximation is of the order of 6%, but microscopic examination of the crystal revealed surface irregularities of at least this magnitude. Because of the large absorption much of the intensity of a reflection comes from the surface of the crystal and these surface ir-

Lattice

Table 1. Atomic positional parameters

					Durnoo
	Atom I	Atom II	Atom III	Atom IV	parameter
Wyckoff notation	2(a)	8(<i>c</i>)	24(g)	24(g)	
Site symmetry	4 3 <i>m</i>	3 <i>m</i>	m	m	
Equivalent positions	000	xxx	xxz	xxz	а
Bradley & Thewlis	000	x = 0.317	x = 0.356	x = 0.089	8∙894 Å
-			z = 0.042	z = 0.278	
Gazzara, Middleton, Weiss & Hall	000	x = 0.316	x = 0.356	x = 0.089	8.9125 (10)*
			z = 0.034	z = 0.282	
Kunitomi, Yamada, Nakai & Fujii	000	x = 0.3176	x = 0.3569	x = 0.0898	
·			z = 0.0346	z = 0.2820	
Present experiment	000	x = 0.31787 (10)	x = 0.35706 (6)	x = 0.08958 (6)	8.911 (2)
			z = 0.03457 (9)	z = 0.28194 (9)	

* The numbers in parentheses here and in succeeding Tables are estimated standard deviations.

T 11	\mathbf{a}	ע ה	-	• •	C	
i anie		Results	01	narious	rememe	ntc
1 4010	≁•	TCOMITO	01	<i>vai i vab</i>	i cjuicinci	

Refinement number	hkl, hlk averaged	Absorption correction	Debye–Waller factors	Scattering factor	Rw	R_1
1	no*	Spherical	Isotropic	Mn ⁰	16.0%	14.2%
2	no*	Ellipsoidal	Isotropic	Mn ⁰	13.3	11.8
3	no*	Ellipsoidal	Anisotropic	Mn ⁰	13.2	11.7
4	yes†	Ellipsoidal	Isotropic	Mn ⁰	12.4	9.7
5	yes	Ellipsoidal	Isotropic	Mn ²⁺	11.3	9.2
6İ	yes	Ellipsoidal	Isotropic	Mn ²⁺	11.5	8.4

* Number of observations = 1142.

 \dagger Number of observations = 650.

 $\ddagger \sigma(F^2)$ adjusted to give an error in an observation of unit weight the value 1.0 for all classes of reflections, as a function of F_{0^2} , and as a function of θ .

^{*} In addition to various local programs for the CDC 6400 local versions of the following programs were used: ORFLS least-squares refinement and ORFFE error function programs of Busing & Levy, GONO absorption correction program of Hamilton, FORDAP Fourier program of Zalkin, and ORTEP plotting program of Johnson.

regularities are thus a significant contributor to the uncertainty of the low angle data. At low angles the *R*-factor for averaging equivalent reflections was 8.9% before the final absorption correction and 8.5% after. For all reflections, however, averaging *hkl* and *hlk* gave *R* factors of 7.9% before and 6.1% after the absorption correction. Thus there is some justification for the use of the more sophisticated absorption correction.

Refinement of the structure

In the full-matrix least-squares refinements, the function minimized was $\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2$, where w, the weight, is taken as $1/\sigma^2$ (F_o^2) and where F_o^2 and F_c^2 are the squares of the observed and calculated structure amplitudes. The conventional and weighted R factors are defined as $R_1 = \sum |F_o^2 - F_c^2| / \sum F_o^2$ and $R_w^2 = \sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \sum wF_o^4$. The Mn⁰ (and later Mn²⁺) scattering factors were taken from Watson & Freeman (1961) and Freeman & Watson (1961). The values of $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$ were taken from the calculated structure factors (Ibers & Hamilton, 1964).

The course of the refinement is shown in Table 2. Initially the parameters varied were the overall scale factor, the atomic coordinates of the atoms (starting with those values in Table 1), the isotropic Debye-Waller factors for the four independent atoms, and a one-parameter extinction parameter (Zachariasen, 1968). Space group $I\overline{4}3m$ was assumed.

The final values of F_o^2 and F_c^2 (in electrons² × 0.1) are given in Table 4. The final parameters are listed in Tables 1 and 3. The extinction coefficient in absolute units was 4.0(3) × 10⁻⁵. Although the various refinements indicated in Table 2 led to slight differences in the derived Debye-Waller factors, they did not lead to significant differences in the positional parameters.

A final difference Fourier synthesis has no interpretable features. The maximum density is $2.9 \text{ e.}\text{\AA}^{-3}$, about 1% of the manganese peaks on an observed electron density map.

Table 3. Thermal parameters determined for α -manganese

	Debye-Waller factor B	Debye temper- ature Θ_D	Root-mean square dis- placement
Ι	0·447 (23) Å ²	379 (11)°K	0·075 (2) Å
II	0.454 (12)	376 (5)	0.076 (1)
III	0.423 (7)	390 (4)	0.0732(7)
IV	0.391 (7)	406 (4)	0.0704 (6)
Average	0.414	394	0.0725

The structure

The structure is that deduced from earlier powder data. A stereoscopic view of the unit cell is shown in Fig. 1. The type I atom at the body-centered position is surrounded tetrahedrally by four atoms of type II (these four shown are actually those belonging to the corners of the unit cell). At a somewhat larger distance twelve type III atoms form a polygon whose faces are triangles and rectangles. Closest to atom I are the twelve type IV atoms. Fig. 2 shows the four atom types and their nearest neighbors in stereo pairs. In Table 5 the interatomic distances for the nearest neighbors of the four atom types are listed.

The thermal parameters determined in this experiment are listed in Table 3. A refinement using anisotropic thermal ellipsoids did not improve the value of R_w nor did it lead to values that differed significantly from the spherical model. The Debye temperature was calculated from the simple Debye model for cubic crystals with one atom per unit cell. In a previous determination of the average Debye temperature Gazzara, Middleton & Weiss (1964) found $\Theta_D = 390^\circ$ K from X-ray reflection intensity ratios at different temperatures. Kimball, Phillips, Nevitt & Preston (1966) obtained $\Theta_D = 410^\circ$ K for Fe atoms in sites III and IV in a 5% Fe–Mn alloy with the α -manganese structure from the temperature variation of the total absorption of Mössbauer spectra. They suggested that atoms III and IV might have the widely different individual



Fig.1. Stereoscopic view of one half of the unit cell. The 28 nearest neighbors of the type I atom at the body-centered position are shown. The unit-cell corners are defined by 8 type I atoms. Each corner and body-centered position in the lattice has this coordination. The atoms are differentiated by size, type I is the largest, type IV the smallest.

Debye temperatures of 500 and 250° K. The absolute values of the Debye temperatures are sensitive to the magnitude of the absorption correction, which is not precisely known, and to the effects of thermal diffuse scattering, which were ignored in the refinement. But the relative values of Θ_D are insensitive to the absorp-

tion correction and are probably not very sensitive to the effects of thermal diffuse scattering. We conclude, therefore, that although some significant variations in the Debye temperatures of the atom types exist, these variations are far smaller than suggested by Kimball, *et al.*

Table 4. Values of 0.1 F_o^2 and 0.1 F_c^2 (in electrons²) for α -manganese

н	ĸ	FO	FC	н	< F	,	FC	н	ĸ	FO	FC	н	ĸ	FO	FC	н	ĸ	FO	FC	н.	ĸ	FO	FC
H 12233444555666677778888889999999000060611111111112222223344455555555555566666666666666666	K L 10213024135024613570246813579024680135791246802135791302468024135791350246802461357913	F 26827905001294571111148604144977774704703844040437138535103789494623793282927600221447735069103081091830098061	FC 1 022 04 1 111 1 1111 1 1111 1 111 1 111 1 111 1 111 1 111 1 111	M *** 2344555666677788888999900000011111122/2/21333334444445553555156666666777771188888899999990000	() [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]		F • 48073726437212121593719222764068533535346228731506533660993225322002714051122 28090397502386431609597140	H 889999010001001111111111111111111111111	K 6835792468025791446802357913446802455791352468024655791352468027579124680257724 L 2435463	F0 3022 229 8227 229 8227 2557 25577 2557 2577 2557	FC 2233220233455555512423334555554223334555542233345554223334555542233355542233355542233345555422333455554223334555542233345555422333455554223335554223335554223335554223335555422333555422333555542233355554223335555422333555542233355554223335555422333555542233355554223335555422333555542233355554223335555422333555542233355554223335555542233355555555	H 445555515156666667777777777777777777888888888999990000011112222222122222	K 13468024357913546802435791346802357946835 L 454657468579468057914680257913468024579134	F0 9356 430 355 448 332 45 38 30 42 41 73 50 20 7 2 160 4 4 28 51 42 51 10 50 72 10 20 72 10	FC 46.5 35.5 5 91 10.5 11.2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	H 6788991000111111222223333344444555556666666777777888889900011111122222333344444555555	K L. 56576857968105791168101257911368101245791131568101445791131568105796 L 676879681079116810127911368101247911315	F0 5 222355736209711216161223 9646535209762922000004887223557115164222002097612235571151642250029762922000001224889769976292097620976	FC 5699 111 12233 3026 12233 3026 12233 20366 12253 20366 22336 12607 225 2246 2246 227 2568 246 227 256 266 267 276 266 277 266 267 276 266 277 276 276	H 2/133344446555566667778889990 8900111122223344445555566666777889 01122233444455555666677788 011	K 91802791380247913802791807 L 89809180261380249135802491809 L 909102913024913024913029 L 010	F0 177267 1011611225 6259 68 9 66 1 1 2 5 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 3 2 5 2 9 4 2 5 7 3 9 4 1 2 2 2 4 2 9 6 4 2 1 2 1 7 1 9 9 9 6 1 6 0 2 8 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 3 5 2 5 2 3 2 5 2 9 4 2 3 0 5 7 2 3 6 4 2 1 2 7 1 1 2 1 7 9 8 2 1 2 2 2 4 2 9 6 4 2 1 2 7 1 1 2 1 7 9 8 2 1 2 2 2 4 2 9 6 4 2 1 2 7 1 1 2 1 7 1 1 2 1 7 1 1 2 1 7 1 2 1 6 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1	FC 7507702222349953111445522234991114455222349911144552223311122455249901111811224552237419111224552499111122455249911112245524991111224552499111122455249911135555499113555554991135555555555
17 17 17 17 17 1 17 1 17 1 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	57913502468021357910246	759 760 761 959 761 959 761 195 761 195 761 195 76 259 195 70 259 27 195 70 259 27 195 70 27 195 70 27 10 76 10 77 10 75 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	725 11 78 51 755 39 72 196 180 15 60 26 21 237 21 237 31 48 7	9 1 9 9 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 7 29 7 29 7 29 7 29 9 4 32 6 5 8 11 1 2 3 2 6 5 8 11 1 2 3 13 1 2 3 2 4 32 6 5 8 12 1 2 3 2 4 32 6 5 8 2 1 2 3 2 4 32 6 5 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2	7 1 7 1 8 1 2 2 6 3 2 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 3 9 4 10 2 4 4 10 2	5y 57 61 34 10 72 434 07 38 36 17 10 93 36 17 10	6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11	35463574683579468035791	2899 5252 363 24329 7387 1796 8365 4879 4875 4855 3597 1300 1064 2795 453 1499 1224 149 1224	2635 594 273 2083 2083 751 550 1855 813 366 262 262 169 73 111 102 500 100 140 104 104 104	155155156 16616 1661777 17718 18818 18818 188199	7 911354680245791134680257	313 7 490 284 61 7 98 80 30 117 23 150 21 331 272 61 148 9 9	311 13 4 70 452 7 288 47 6 1002 37 1412 134 17 47 157 1 7	15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	9 11 13 15 6 8 0 12 14 7 9 11 6 8 0 12 7 9 11 6 8 0 12 7 9 11 6 8 0 12 7 9 11 13 6 8 0 12 14 7 9 11 3 6 8 0 12 14 7 9 11 13 6 8 0 12 14 7 9 11 13 6 8 10 12 14 14 14 15 16 8 10 12 11 14 15 16 8 10 12 11 14 15 16 10 11 11 15 16 18 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	540 57 324 127 327 443 136 136 65 448 136 65 448 69 869 1080 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 10	5266 566 109 2755 112 436 206 132 117 65 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 115 369 206	10 11 12 12 13 13 14 14 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 12 12 13 14	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 10 16 737 50 71 40 172 135 188 137 44 140 304 55 11 88 137 14 188 191 	6 748 40 57 44 175 149 169 149 169 197 271 65 16 16 73 4 174
20 20 21 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	8 0 1 3 5 7 0 10 2	39 33 138 155 223 31 069 7 0	41 26 103 151 250 29 901 2	56667788	5 213 2 132 4 132 6 55 3 49 5 56 7 17 2 365 4 32	1 25 7 11 2 13 6 6 8 4 9 5 1 1 9 33 7 3	970 107 934 995 185 195	13 13 13 13 14 14 14	4 8 10 12 3 5 7 9	315 192 905 566 236 651 322 56 175	336 166 876 553 245 310 55 149	19 19 20 20 20 21 21 21 22	9 11 6 3 10 5 7 4	94 94 167 59 30 213 46 7 51	94 83 162 60 27 213 41 50	8 9 10 10 11 11 11 12	L = 7 8 7 9 8 10 7	7 20 206 501 188 12 635	15 14 209 558 206 17 614	14 15 16 12 13 14	13 12 11 • L 12 13 12	119 30 170 = 12 205 58 86	117 46 162 213 62 100

1502











Fig.2. Stereoscopic views of the coordination of the four atom types. These views are from the same angle as that of Fig.1. Bond lengths not explicitly indicated are the same as those given for atoms marked with similar symbols.

 Table 5. Interatomic distances for nearest neighbors

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Atomic type	Nearest neighbors Num- ber Type	Distance*	Coordination number
I	4 II 12 IV	2·811 Å 2·754	16
II	1 I 3 III 3 III 6 IV 3 IV	2·811 2·572 2·930 2·708 2·895	16
ш	1 II 1 III 2 III 4 III 1 IV 2 IV 2 IV	2·572 2·930 2·622 2·661 2·349 2·524 2·682	13
IV	1 I 2 II 1 II 1 III 2 III 2 III 1 IV 2 IV	2·754 2·708 2·895 2·349 2·524 2·682 2·258 2·424	12
	* All errors are	+0.001 Å.	

ht expect differences to exist

One might expect differences to exist in the true scattering factors of the four different types of manganese atoms, since the coordination number and symmetry of their sites are different. Although such effects were looked for in a second data set which consisted of all dependent reflections in the region $\lambda^{-1}\sin\theta < 0.3 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, no definite conclusions could be reached. This is not surprising since these inner data are most sensitive to the model for extinction and are affected more by the problems of the absorption correction.

The support and advice of Professors Jules A. Marcus and Lyle H. Schwartz in this work is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Bradley, A. J. & Thewlis, J. (1927). Proc. Roy. Soc. A 115, 456.
- CORFIELD, P. W. R., DOEDENS, R. J. & IBERS, J. A. (1967). Inorg. Chem. 6, 197.
- CROMER, D. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 17.
- FREEMAN, A. J. & WATSON, R. E. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 231.
- GAZZARA, C. P., MIDDLETON, R. M. & WEISS, J. J. (1964). Phys. Letters, 10, 257.
- GAZZARA, C. P., MIDDLETON, R. M., WEISS, R. J. & HALL, E. O. (1967). Acta Cryst. 22, 859.
- IBERS, J. A. & HAMILTON, W. C. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 781.
- KASPER, J. S. & ROBERTS, B. W. (1956). Phys. Rev. 101, 537.
- KIMBALL, C. W., PHILLIPS, W. C., NEVITT, M. C. & PRES-TON, R. S. (1966). Phys. Rev. 146, 375.
- KUNITOMI, N., YAMADA, T., NAKAI, Y. & FUJII, Y. (1969). J. Appl. Phys. 40, 1265.
- OBERTEUFFER, J. A. (1969). Ph. D. Thesis, Northwestern University.
- OBERTEUFFER, J. A., MARCUS, J. A., SCHWARTZ, L. H. & FELCHER, G. P. (1968). *Phys. Letters*, **28**A, 267.
- OBERTEUFFER, J. A., MARCUS, J. A., SCHWARTZ, L. H. & FELCHER, G. P. (1970) *Phys. Rev.* 2B, 670.
- PRESTON, R. S. (1928). Phil. Mag. 5, 1198.
- SHULL, C. G. & WILKINSON, M. K. (1953). *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 25, 100.
- WATSON, R. E. & FREEMAN, A. S. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 27.
- WESTGREN, A. & PHRAGMÉN, G. (1925). Z. Phys. 33, 777.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 212.

Acta Cryst. (1970). B26, 1504

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of a,a'-Diselenobisformamidinium Dichloride

By Angiola Chiesi Villa, Mario Nardelli & Maria Eleonora Vidoni Tani

Istituto di Chimica Generale, Università degli Studi, Parma, Italy

(Recieved 24 September 1969)

The crystal structure of α, α' -diselenobisformamidinium dichloride, [SeC(NH₂)₂]₂Cl₂, has been determined by a three-dimensional X-ray analysis (Cu K α). The unit cell of dimensions $a=12\cdot82(2)$, $b=6\cdot01(1)$, $c=12\cdot22(1)$ Å, $\beta=108\cdot1(0\cdot1)^{\circ}$ contains 4 stoichiometric units (space group C2/c). The organic cation is formed by two selenourea groups nearly perpendicular to the Se-Se= $2\cdot380(6)$ Å bond, the dihedral angle CSeSeⁱASeSeⁱCⁱ being 89.5°; bond distances in those groups are: Se-C= $1\cdot94(1)$, C-N= $1\cdot32(2)$ and $1\cdot30(2)$ Å.All the hydrogen atoms are involved in N···Cl hydrogen bonds. The molecular structure of the cation is compared with that of the corresponding thio-derivative.

Introduction

In an attempt to prepare silver(I)-selenourea complexes, a few crystals of α, α' -diselenobisformamidinium dichloride were obtained. They were probably formed by oxidation of selenourea by the Ag⁺ ion or by atmospheric oxygen. As the crystals were too few for a conventional chemical analysis, their nature was deter-